Critically Appraised Topic

Prophylactic oral antibiotics for low-risk dog bite wounds

Name author: xxxxx

Clinical scenario

A young woman has been bitten by a dog three hours ago. She has puncture wounds and a small laceration on her forearm. Is their evidence for the prescription of prophylactic antibiotics?

Clinical question/ PICO

In adults with dog bite wounds, do prophylactic antibiotics reduce the incidence of wound infection?

P = adults with recent, uncomplicated, dog bite woundsI= antibioticsC= placeboO = incidence of wound infection

The preferred study type: randomized controlled trials (RCTs) or Systematic Reviews of RCTs

Search strategy

PubMed:

("Bites and Stings"[Mesh] OR bite*[TIAB]) AND ("Dogs"[Mesh] OR dog*[TIAB]) AND ("Anti-Bacterial Agents"[Mesh] OR "Antibiotic Prophylaxis"[Mesh] OR "Anti-Bacterial Agents" [Pharmacological Action] OR antibiotic*[TIAB]) + Haynes' filter for Systematic Review/ Therapy narrow (Clinical Queries). PubMed result: 352 papers, of which 10 systematic reviews and 8 randomized trials (search date March 1 2012)

<u>Cochrane Library</u>: dog* bite* antibiotic* (ti,ab,kw)

Cochrane result: 1 Cochrane Review and 9 trials (search date March 1 2012)

Search outcome

From the 10 systematic reviews (and one duplicate), the Cochrane Review was included. Out of 17 trials, 7 were duplicates; 4 RCTs were included in the Cochrane Review; 5 trials were irrelevant or not adequate (not randomized). One remaining, recent, RCT and cost-effectiveness study was included for critical appraisal.

Results

Key results of the Cochrane systematic review and RCT are summarized in the evidence table below

Ref	Patient group and intervention	Study type	Outcome	Key results	Study weaknesses
Medeiros H.S. 2001	463 dog bite patients (6 RCTs)	Cochrane Syst Review	Incidence of infection	Infection rate in treatment group: 4%	Small sample sizes. 1/6 study was
	Treatment vs control: -Phenoxymethyl penicillin 5 days + wound care	Level 1a		(10/225) Control group: 5.5% (13/238)	quasi- randomized; 5/6 studies not
	vs local wound care only -Dicloxacillin or cephalexin or erythromycin 7 days vs placebo			Odds Ratio 0.74 95% C.I. [0.30, 1.85]	clear about allocation concealment
	 Oxacillin 5 days vs placebo Cotrimoxazole vs placebo Cloxacillin or dicloxacillin vs placebo Phenoxymethyl penicillin 2 days vs placebo 			[]	Differences in antibiotic type and regimen; one study is not placebo- controlled
Quinn 2010	94 dog bite patients. Treatment vs control was	RCT + cost effectivenes s	Incidence of infection	Infection rate in treatment group:	Small sample; 29% of eligible patients refused
	3day prophylactic amoxicillin-calvulanic acid vs placebo	Level 1b		0% (0/48) Control group: 4% (2/46)	to participate
				ARR (abs risk red) 4% (95% C.I. -1 to 4.5%)	

Comments

The overall infection rate for dog bites in all included studies was 4.5% whether or not antibiotics were prescribed. According to the cost model of Quinn prophylactic antibiotics are cost effective if the risk of wound infection is greater than 5% and if antibiotics decrease that risk by greater than 3%. And it is never cost effective to treat wounds with an infection rate of less than 3%.

Antibiotics may be (cost-) effective for high-risk dog bites with a high risk of wound infection.

Clinical bottom line

There is no evidence for the effectiveness of prophylactic antibiotics for uncomplicated dog bites.

References

Medeiros I, Saconato H. Antibiotic prophylaxis for mammalian bites. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2001;(2):CD001738. PMID: 11406003

Quinn JV, McDermott D, Rossi J, Stein J, Kramer N. Randomized controlled trial of prophylactic antibiotics for dog bites with refined cost model. West J Emerg Med. 2010 Dec;11(5):435-41. PubMed PMID: 21293762